

U.N. Team Says Chemical Arms Were Used in Iran

weapons had been used recently in bor-der areas being contested by the two countries. Iran and Iraq have been at The investigators, who spent a week In Iran, did not specifically charge Iraq with using these weapons, but the report made clear that the chemical

UNITED NATIONS, N.Y., March 26

The report, drafted unanimously by 14

The report drafted unanimously by 15

There was substantial evidence that cal agents used were bis-(2-chored-chemical weapons, in the form of 1)-sulfide, also known as mustant gas, agent they mispected.

The said the weapons included must midocyanidate, a nerve agent known in the ard gas and nerve agents.

The investigators, who spent a week touches.

However, the investigators added that "the extent to which these chemical agents have been used could not be determined within the time and re-No Evidence of Yellow Rain

by also found in their samples no evidence is a also found in their samples no evidence is no of mycotoxins, fungal poisons commonly referred to as 'yellow rain.'

In and's delegate to the United Nations, Riyadh S. al-Qaysi, said late this clip as Afternoon that he had just received the safternoon that he had just received the sign in report and was fowarding it to Iraq.

"I am awaiting instructions from me it Baghdad," he said, "and unless Iroh it Baghdad," he said, "and unless orm— and ment."

panied by Iqbal Riza, a United Nations official in the office of Special Political for Affairs. The United Nations delegation proceeded the next day to the war zone to examine evidence and to collect this samples for further testing in specials is samples for further testing in special proceediaboratories in Europe.

In Teheran, the specialistis examined Penton to have transported the chemicals, to have transported the chemicals, in clinically examined over 40 patients in the gald to have been exposed to attacks by on ment officials.

The Secretary General, Javier Pérez de Cuellar, said today that in passing along the report of the investigators to the Security Council, he "carnot but deplore that their unanimous concilutions substantiate the allegations that the chemical weapons have been used." was willing to comment on the report.
The four chemical weapons experts arrived in Teheran on March 13 accom-No one at the Iranian mission today

derson, a senior research chemist at the National Defense Research Institute in Sweden; Dr. Manuel Domining guez, an army colonel and professor of preventive medicine in Spain; Dr. Peter Dum, a scientist at the Matériels Research Laboratory, Department of Imohersteg, Chief of Chemical Weap. The team was made up of four chem-al warfare experts, Dr. Gustav An-

Approved For Release 2009/10/08: CIA-RDP86B00420R000200300011-5

THE WASHINGTON POST

prevailed over the State Department in determining the manner in which not even have the support of U.S. guarantee a Soviet rejection and may initial troop reductions would . congressional sources said wou counted, a complex approach wh

dran an excomcomcomcomcomcomcomcont an excomcont an excont an excont an excont and an excont an excont an excont an excont and an excont and an excont an excont and an excont and an excont and an excont an exco Last week, Perle discussed his views before two Senate panels. Or Wednesday, he told the Senau allies in the talks.

High Pentagon Officials Opposing U.S. Actions on Arms (

Washington Post Staff Witter By Walter Pincus

ard N. Perle, are fighting to delay or prevent adminstration initiatives in several secondary areas of arms con-trol, including some where Soviet leader Konstantin U. Chernenko has called for movement by the United Senior Pentagon officials, led by Assistant Secretary of Defense Rich

said last week, "and because he fears real changes may be coming and he wants to head them off." Pentagon year pressures could lead the White House to enter negotiations without "Perle wants to stop the process because of what he believes are the pitfalls of negotiating with the Soviets," a top administration official officials cited concern that election

ments: adequate preparations. Chernenko has called for movement by the progress in eliminating chemical weapons, negotiations to ban anti-atellite weapons and ratification of underground nuclear weapons tests larger than 150 kilotons. on arms control issues. He cited the 1974 U.S.-Soviet treaty barring United States in three areas of nonstrategic weapons to prove its sincer-

Soviet Union have focused attention Both the United States and the talks on medium-range and strategic nuclear weapons in December folshing II and ground-launched cruise on these areas since Moscow's nego-tiators walked out of the Geneva owing deployment of American Permissiles in western Europe.

plan for verification.

January

8 Perle, however, has managed

sidered so great by other officials that the White House late last par W. Weinberger in a memo that "it was the president's intention" to produce a draft treaty, according to An interagency study chaired by The threat of the Defense Department blocking the treaty was conmonth told Defense Secretary Casa Pentagon official. ellite weapons and ratification of the threshold treaty, citing difficulties in the chemical treaty and in development of a new U.S. position at the verifying Soviet compliance in these areas. He has slowed movement on Vienna talks on conventional troop reductions in Europe. The developblock any U.S. initiative on anti-sat-

in verifying a ban on anti-satellite weapons, Perle said last week. As a result, the administration is not exations to ban these weapons "at this Another top Reagan official said. the Pentagon and recently delivered to the White House has determined sected to propose entering negotithere are "insurmountable problems" time," Perle said . A draft treaty to eliminate chemical weapons, which Secretary of State George P. Shultz said in coming months," is still in interagen-cy meetings, awaiting the Pentagon's be presented "in

Perle called "inadequate" the verification provisions in a draft pre-pared by the Arms Control and Disarmament Agency

may force the president to take some limited action in this area.

 An effort by ACDA Deputy Di-rector David F. Emery several months ago to reconsider adminisoan treaty, was quashed by another according to Perle, that the "treaty drafted is unverifiable." Last year, the Soviets turned down a U.S. request to reopen the verification proration opposition to the threshold Pentagon study which confirmed isions on this treaty.

 Negotiation of conventional troop reductions in Europe is the one area where the Defense Department has agreed to an initiative. At first, the Pentagon opposed a State Department proposal that was close conventional to a position offered last year by the Soviets. Subsequently, the Pentagor lowever, that congressional pressure

CHRISTIAN SCIENCE MONITOR

20 March 1984 (21)

Pg. 1

Next likely Soviet signal in East-West ties: chemical weapon talks

By Elizabeth Pond

Staff writer of The Christian Science Monitor

Vienna

The next Soviet signal in East-West relations could come at the Geneva talks on banning chemical weapons. Or it could come in bilateral superpower relations.

It is unlikely to materialize at the Vienna troop reduction talks, however.

This seems to be the consensus of a number of allied diplomats close to the ongoing American.
British, and West
German attempt to work out a common modification of the NATO proposal of 1982. Such a modification could not be wrestled out in time for the March 16 reopening of the Mutual and Balanced

Force Reduction (MBFR) talks.

The sources believe that Western differences can be resolved in the next few weeks — with West Germany scaling down its wish for a public demonstration of the West's readiness to compromise, and with the United States and Britain trimming their aversion to good-will gestures before end goals have been agreed on. The diplomats do not really expect, however, that any of the modified Western approaches now under consideration would elicit a major Soviet response.

The decade-old MBFR talks have in any case always been somewhat peripheral to the main superpower concerns of nuclear balance and détente. Moscow's sudden willingness last January to resume the Vienna talks was an exception; it was important as the first step back from Soviet supersion of nuclear and conventional arms

control negotiations in late 1983 in reaction to NATO's new deployment of Euromissiles.

The subsequent death of Soviet party secretary Yuri Andropov and the succession of Konstantin Chernenko created a new opportunity for East-West, signaling a changed situation. But by then some direct political dialogue between the superpowers had been restarted and the Geneva talks on banning chemical weapons looked more promising as a medium for East-West communication. The MBFR talks were no longer needed as a surrogate for the nonexistent nuclear talks and a reassurance for public opinion.

Chemical weapons talks continue to be attractive to the Soviets partly because of their political ambiguity. The Soviet initiative tabled this year conveys a message of reasonableness to the West. At the same time, however, it holds the potential

of arousing anti-military passions in the future among West Germans in the same way that nuclear weapons issues did last year. It also could head off imminent American upgrading of chemical capability as the US reacts to the extensive Soviet chemical capability in Europe.

Chemical arms control — which is simpler than nuclear arms control or probably even European troops reductions — also holds out the possibility of an eventual high-level superpower meeting if enough progress is made.

The broader resumption of the superpower dialogue — along with President Reagan's conciliatory speech of Jan. 16 and Chernenko's toning down of Soviet anti-American rhetoric — provides another major channel for private and public East-West communication.

The already slim Soviet incentive for a summit prior to the US presidential election (if Reagan looked like a shoo-in) is fading as the November election begins to look somewhat more open. But any post-election summit, if desired, could be arranged directly at this point without requiring prior signaling in other form.

All this suggests that the MBFR talks will revert to their more limited technical function of trying to stabilize troop confrontations in Central Europe, without bearing any additional symbolic burden.